Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/600,119	JENSEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tanim Hossain	2145
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>Tanim Hossain</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Jose M. Nunez</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 19 June 2008	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 101		
Claims discussed: 1, 17		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Claims 1 and 17, and their depending claims, presented 101 issues. Cancellation of claim 1 and its depending claims were proposed. Amendments to claim 17 were proposed. Both proposals were accepted.		
Part III.		
⊠ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. □ □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview did not result in the interview		
/Jason D Cardone/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2145		
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		